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The status quo is unacceptable

• The sustainability challenge
  • Environmental performance of EU agriculture & climate threat
  • Economic vulnerability of many farms, subsidy dependency

• 72% CAP (28% EU budget) is for Pillar 1 Direct Payments (DPs)
  • Farm income support
  • As a stabilisation measure
  • For food security
  • For delivering environmental services, and higher standards

• CAP offers poor value for money for a Budget Focused on Results

TINBERGEN RULE: for each and every policy target there must be at least one policy tool.
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The balance and architecture should change

• Three prime elements are required for:
  • Investment support
  • Integrated land management
  • Holistic risk management

• Existing mostly Pillar 2 investment supports for rural development, including agricultural development, should remain

• Continuing need for research, development, knowledge exchange, innovation, training and skills enhancement.

• The principal adjustment is to move away from the poorly targeted, annual, Pillar 1 direct payments
Proposed structure for a modernised CAP

**Holistic risk management**
- Prevention
- Mitigation
- Coping

**Integrated Land Management**
- Tier 1 Transitional Adjustment Assistance
- Tier 2 Help for environmentally and socially marginal areas
- Tier 3 Agri-environment and climate measures
- Tier 4 Higher level environmental payments

**Reference level**

**Investment support:**
- Productivity, innovation and skills
- High quality food
- Food chain relations
- Rural Development
- Community led development
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LAND MANAGEMENT

@RISE_Fnd #FutureofCAP
Set clear goals for an agricultural transition

- Assemble a strategic EU approach to meeting land management goals by 2030 to inform the next CAP
- Include a Roadmap with pathways to meet low carbon and wider sustainability goals on European farmland
- Address synergies and trade-offs, e.g. with food production, water quality, enhanced carbon sequestration, forests, biodiversity, bioenergy and employment
- EU level perspectives frame and inform national and regional approaches
- A tool to sharpen EU objectives and clarify the dimensions and pace of transition
A transition at two levels

• At the farm level.
  Management adapted to a potentially more demanding environment agenda, new technological choices and evolving market conditions; farmers working in new networks and with changing relationships

• Within the wider food system.
  Adjusting to higher farm gate prices reflecting the true cost of sustainable production. Less reliance on public expenditure to meet the costs of higher environmental standards, leading to new market dynamics
Four poles of intervention to achieve transition

- **Regulation and targets**: the baseline
- A reformed **CAP** with incentives tied more closely to delivery of agreed public goods objectives
- **Advice, training, research and development, engagement, institutional capacity building**
- Strong encouragement for transition in the food system with enhanced market opportunities for land managers and a greater role for the **private sector** in offering incentives
An expanded role for the private sector

• Supply chain adjustments enabling higher production costs of farmers meeting new standards to be recovered through more realistic pricing and fair contract conditions (extending the concepts in the Veerman report)

• Greater use of labelling and certification schemes to support environmental objectives

• Promotion of supply chain initiatives e.g. in Rural Development Programmes

• Private land management contracts for ecological services like clean water

• Compensation schemes to offset damage from development
Addressing four dimensions of the CAP

• Utilising appropriate policy tools, with an increase in level of targeting and tailoring and more emphasis on rewarding results

• Balancing precision with a streamlining of administration wherever possible

• Employing more attuned and effective modes of consultation, delivery and implementation, including controls, technologies for monitoring and enforcement: a new culture is required. This should seek to nurture trust.

• Generally adopting a programming approach with defined objectives rather than Pillar I rules

• Securing sufficient budget, accepting a different distribution between farms and regions when this follows new objectives
**CAP Support in four layers**

1. Relatively low transitional payment for meeting more demanding environmental standards: digressive & time limited (to ~ 2030)?

2. ANC payment with more rigorous targeting and carbon sequestration element

3. New simplified environmental land management scheme applicable to most farming systems, including organics, extensive beef and sheep, horticulture, permanent crops.

4. Higher level, well targeted measures, focus on enhanced performance, recovery of nature, ambitious sequestration.

A predictable and progressive shift in funding towards the higher level schemes
RISK MANAGEMENT
Toward a paradigm shift

- Core ideas:
  - Let the market play its signalling role in an undisorted way
  - Remove instruments that distort the market
# Risk management instruments and strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Farm/household /community</th>
<th>Market</th>
<th>Government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Risk reduction</strong></td>
<td>Technological choice</td>
<td>Training on risk management</td>
<td>Macroeconomic policies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disaster prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Animal disease prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Risk mitigation</strong></td>
<td>Enterprise and output diversification</td>
<td>Futures, options Insurance Vertical coordination Spread sales Diversify investment Off-farm work</td>
<td>Tax system smoothing Counter-cyclical payments Border measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Risk coping</strong></td>
<td>Borrow from family or neighbours</td>
<td>Selling assets Borrow from banks Off-farm income</td>
<td>Disaster relief Social assistance Agricultural support</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Sharing responsibilities for risk management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Catastrophic risks</th>
<th>Marketable risks</th>
<th>Normal risks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rare, high damage</td>
<td>Middle range</td>
<td>Small damage but frequent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and systemic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-farm strategies</td>
<td></td>
<td>On-farm strategy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Diversification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Saving</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market tools</td>
<td></td>
<td>Market tools</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Forward contract</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Insurance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex ante policies</td>
<td>Disaster assistance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>policies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Ex ante / Ex post</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>payment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Public insurance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex post policies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Utilise the full canvas of potential measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Horizontal coordination</th>
<th>Vertical coordination</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transfer risk</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vertical integration</td>
<td>Hedging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffer risk</td>
<td>Cooperative mutual funds</td>
<td>Chain-based mutual funds</td>
<td>Borrowing Fiscal smoothing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share risk</td>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>Contracts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spread risk</td>
<td>Enterprise and output diversification</td>
<td>Diversification by adding value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Holistic Risk Management Strategy

• Axis 1: Risk prevention
  Appropriate technology use, land management, information management and training (investment support, subsidies for ecosystem services)

• Axis 2: Risk mitigation
  Private risk management measures (framework, temporary support)

• Axis 3: Risk coping
  Income stabilisation tool to pick up residual risk: premium-based, farmers choose coverage, discounts when appropriate risk management measures taken, index-based triggering mechanism, financed by Crisis Reserve